



International Leadership Council

Notes of meeting  
December 11, 2018

Present: Evelyn Fendler-Lee, Sergio Lara, Roberto Larios, Ruth Hirsch, Claude Missiaen, Catherine Torpey, Yong Wei Xu (guest)

Claude was Prime Mover

#### I. NEW PRIME MOVER AND AGENDA SETTING

Discussed our process of setting the agenda for our meetings. It was agreed that ILC members should take the initiative to share their ideas by writing to [ilc@focusing.org](mailto:ilc@focusing.org), and also that an effort would be made to get the agenda out at least 1-2 days prior to each meeting.

Evelyn agreed to be Prime Mover from January to June, 2019.

#### II. CLARIFICATION OF NOVEMBER 13 DECISION

At the November 13th meeting of the ILC, it was decided that “if the candidate’s original Certifying Coordinator has some opposition to that person’s candidacy as a CIT, they may contact the ILC or ED to explain their objection. However, this opposition will not be construed as an automatic ‘veto power.’”

There was a discussion to clarify how that decision would be communicated and to whom. It was partially addressed in the letter that the ILC sent jointly with the Board and Catherine to the small group of Iberoamerican Coordinators who had written us a letter, but that that response went only to those who had written the letter. Therefore, it was decided that Roberto will use his own felt sensing to decide whether it is important to explicitly communicate this decision to the larger cohort of Iberoamerican Coordinators.

#### III. PILOT PROGRAM

There was further discussion of the November 13 decision to use surveys rather than meetings to connect with Coordinators-in-Training and Coordinators who are participating in the Pilot Program.

The decision was that each Coordinator-in-Training and their Mentoring Coordinator will have one ILC member designated to act as their liaison. The role of this liaison will be for the ILC member to reach out to the CiT and the MC to get a sense of how the process is going for them, and also to ascertain what kinds of contact and communication with TIFI would be helpful for them.

It was agreed that we would create a standard list of survey questions. It was noted that the questions should be asked in an open-ended, felt sensing way. Following are the ones that immediately came to mind:

Is the Pilot Program helping you to get what you feel you need?

How has your experience been up to now in this program?

The purpose of the new guidelines for becoming a Coordinator is to create a support system for the CiT and also to increase accountability to the greater community and to the Institute. In your opinion, is it accomplishing this (or does it go in the right direction to accomplish this)?

We'd like some input as to how the program can be better.

What changes or adaptations would you recommend?

What is your experience of what the second Coordinators (Support Team) are willing and able to give, since they are unpaid?